

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/495,407	01/31/2000	Keith Stivers	OSI-2300/2310	4823
7590 07/09/2004			EXAMINER	
Stuart O Lowry			MOSSER, ROBERT E	
Kelly Bauersfeld Lowry & Kelley LLP 6320 Canoga Avenue Suite 1650			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Woodland Hills, CA 91367			3714	

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		S)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/495,407	STIVERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Mosser	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and an areply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>6-4-2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28,33-51,53,54,56-62,64,65,67</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28,33-51,53,54,56-62,64,65,67</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration. 7-73,77-88 and 90-96 is/are re					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyour orrection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	\$			

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-28, 33-51, 53, 54, 56-62, 64, 65, 67-73, 77-88, 90-96 are pending.

Previously indicated allowable subject matter has been withdrawn due to the discovery of new prior art.

This Action is Non-Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 33, 60, 65, 67-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gobush et al (US 5,803,823) in view of Gobush et al (US 6,241,622) in further view of Bouton (US 5,472,205).

Gobush (5,803,823) teaches an apparatus for monitoring the swing path of a golf club close to impact with a ball including an impact location for receiving the ball (Fig 4 & 5) and a first sensor (6) proximate to the impact location;

Though stating that the features directed to the tracking and calculation of golf ball behavior are old and well known Gobush does not teach the specific tracking of the ball parameters or the incorporation planer sensor array. However Gobush does teach the tracking of ball related parameters in a related document (US 6,241,622).

Art Unit: 3714

Gobush (6,241,622) teaches an image capture device including a camera (36, 38) for capturing two or more images of the golf ball after impact with the golf club head (Fig 4 & 5); and a processor for receiving signals indicative of a temporal profile and three dimensional velocity of the golf ball by extrapolating perimeters of two or more images taken using the camera, and by determining three-dimensional spatial positions of the ball in said images and calculating the three-dimensional velocity of said golf ball based on said three-dimensional spatial positions (Abstract, Col 8:39-42, Col 10:10-17, Col 13:45-50). Described variants of these extrapolations based on orientation and flight behavior are believed to be well encompassed in the 34 equations contained with in the 6,241,622 reference that encompass elements from Cartesian position, formulas relation velocity to time, ball spin direction to time or position and a variety of other extrapolations based off of the initial ball impact event.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have incorporate the ball tracking system as taught by Gobush (6,241,622) with the club tracking as taught by Gobush (5,803,823) in order provide a calculation verification means and better analyze the effect of the swing on true ball flight behavior.

In another related application Bouton teaches a first array of sensors proximate to the impact location and a second array of sensors spaced apart from the first array behind the impact position along swing path, the first and second array positioned such that a golf club swung in preparation for contact with a golf ball at the impact location will have a swing plane in angular relation to the first and second arrays (Fig 8, 9). Claim language found in at least claims 2-6 is interpreted as further describing the

Art Unit: 3714

sensor array as presented in figure 8 with claimed variants of functionality found in figures 5 and 13.

Bouton further teaches a processor for receiving signals indicative of a temporal profile of which sensors the golf club head is over during the swing and for determining at least one of swing path and a club head angle of the golf club based on said signals indicative of the temporal profile (Fig 5, 8,9).

It is the examiner's interpretation that any temporal elements not directly stated in the reference of Bouton are encompassed in Bouton's calculation of ball velocity which in turn would require the measurement of time in association with distance to calculate. Further this sensor matrix would serve as so set forth to accurately determine the position of the club both on it's approach and departure serving as ideal trigger means for the image system of Bouton described above.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have incorporated the sensor array of Bouton in the invention of Gobush/Gobush in order to provide detailed shutter timings for the camera trigger and an additional calibration method for the device of Gobush/Gobush.

Claims **12-28**, **34-51**, **53-54**, **61**, **62**, **64**, **77-88**, **and 90-96** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gobush et al (US 5,803,823) in view of Gobush et al (US 6,241,622) in further view of Bouton (US 5,472,205) in yet further view of Mook (US 5,067,719).

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding at least claims 12-28, 34-51, 53-54, 62, 64, 77-88, and 90-96 and in addition to the above stated. The invention of Gobush/Gobush/Bouton teaches the use of dots for the automatic extrapolation of spin, determination of ball perimeter, but is silent regarding the use of stripes or a stripe rather then dots as a visual reference tool.

In a related application Mook teaches the use of colored circumambulatory stripe(s) around a golf ball for a visual indication of ball spin through color (Abs 7 Fig 1-5). It would have been obvious to use the stripes of Mook as reference indices in the invention of Gobush/Gobush/Bouton in order to provide an index which could not be obstructed through the presence of finite amount of dirt on the ball surface.

Regarding at least claim **61**, the invention of Gobush/Gobush/Bouton/Mook is silent regarding the use of a single camera opposed to multiple cameras. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have utilized a single camera in place of multiple camera of Gobush/Gobush/Bouton/Mook in order to reduce system cost.

It is the examiner's interpretation that in the replacement of the dot system of Gobush as taught above with the stripe(s) system of Mook, features that where previously measured by the dots would be so equivalently measured by the stripe(s). For example in claim 49 the curvature of the stripe would be inherently the function of ball orientation and as the stripe(s) of Mook are taught in the claimed manner any stripe(s0 would be visible from a fixed view during the swing. As such the stripe would

Art Unit: 3714

serve the same function and purpose as the described stripe laid forth in the invention of Gobush/Gobush/Bouton/Mook.

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims **1-28**, **33**, **6062**, **64**, **65**, **67-73**, **77-81**, **83**, and 85 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Mook and Gobush.

Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) may be found above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Mosser whose telephone number is (703)-305-4253. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

REM

JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6